

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,222	01/20/2004	Rolf Joachim Mehlhorn	028723-389	6448
7590 06/05/2007 Bernard F. Rose SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. Suite 300 One Maritime Plaza San Francisco, CA 94111-3492			EXAMINER	
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/759,222	MEHLHORN, ROLF JOACHIM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin E. Weddington	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	nuary 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:					

Application/Control Number: 10/759,222 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's drawings filed January 20, 2004 has been received and entered.

Due to the complex nature of the claims, no request for an oral election is being made. Please see MPEP 812.01.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12 are drawn to a method of loading lipid-like vesicles having a membrane permeable to a chemical species to be loaded form a loading solution wherein the concentration of the thus loaded chemical species within the vesicle is greater than solution and the loaded chemical species in the loading solution and the loaded chemical species can be substantially maintained within the vesicle for at least one-quarter hour following loading, classified in class 424, subclass 400.
- Claim 13 is drawn to a vesicles composition, classified in class 424,
 subclass 400.
- III. Claim 14 is drawn to pharmaceutical preparation for administration in vivo to an animal comprising lipid-like vesicles of claim 1, classified in class 424, subclass 400.
- IV. Claim 15 is drawn to a pharmaceutical preparation for administration in vivo to an animal comprising liposome of claim 2, classified in class 424, subclass 400.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,222

Art Unit: 1614

Page 3

V. Claims 24 and 25 are drawn to methods of detoxifying an animal suffering from an overdose of a chemical species with basic pH responsive or acid pH responsive groups comprising injecting the animal with a solution having a physiologically benign pH with respect to the animals, classified in class 424, subclass 400.

VI. Claim 26 is drawn to a method of loading lipid-like vesicles having a membrane permeable to a chemical species to be loaded wherein one of the components is hydrophobic, classified in class 424, subclass 400.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product.

The six inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different and separate subject matter for inventive effort. Further, a reference, which anticipates any one of the above inventions, would neither anticipate nor make obvious of the other inventions. Each such invention is capable of supporting its own patent. For these reasons, the restriction requirement is proper.

To be complete, applicant's response must include a provisional election even though the requirement may be traverse.

Art Unit: 1614

The applicants are required to elect a single invention for examination purposes.

Claims 16-23 will examined with the elected invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the

Application/Control Number: 10/759,222 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614 Application/Control Number: 10/759,222

Art Unit: 1614

May 31, 2007

Page 6